

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SOITEC SA and SOITEC USA, Inc.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 05-806 (KAJ)
)	
MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS,)	
INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

JOINT SCHEDULING REPORT

The parties, through their attorneys, have conferred in accord with FRCP 26(f) and Local Rule 16.2 and file this joint report. At the present time there are seven (7) patents in suit. Defendant has moved to dismiss with respect to six (6) of the patents. The parties are discussing a resolution of this motion. The parties agree to a trial date in 18 months and corresponding schedule proposed by Plaintiff, as long as the seven (7) patents remain in this proceeding. However, if the six (6) patents are removed from this proceeding, Defendant proposes a trial date in about 1 year with a corresponding schedule, while Plaintiff submits that the trial date should remain at 18 months.

A proposed scheduling order is attached.

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

SOITEC SA and SOITEC USA, Inc.,)	
)	
Plaintiffs,)	
)	
v.)	Case No. 05-806 (KAJ)
)	
MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS,)	
INC.,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

[PROPOSED] SCHEDULING ORDER

This ____ day of _____, 2006, the Court having conducted an initial Rule 16 scheduling and planning conference pursuant to Local Rule 16.2(a) on March 3, 2006 and the parties having determined after discussion that the matter cannot be resolved at this juncture by settlement, voluntary mediation, or binding arbitration;

IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosures and E-Discovery. The parties shall make their initial disclosures pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1) within two weeks of the date of this Order. The parties have agreed to negotiate an agreed procedure for electronic discovery in this action, and will submit their proposed agreement for further order of the Court following such negotiations.
2. Joinder of Other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties and to amend or supplement the pleadings shall be filed on or before June 2, 2006.
3. Discovery.

(a) Limitation on Hours for Deposition Discovery. Each side is limited to a total of [**Proposal: Plaintiff - 250 hours; Defendant 150 hours**] of taking fact testimony by deposition upon oral examination for fact witnesses. In addition, each side may conduct [**Proposal: Plaintiff 14 hours; Defendant: 7 hours**] of testimony by deposition upon oral examination for each expert as to each report submitted by such expert.

(b) Location of Depositions. Any party representative (officer, director, or managing agent) of a party filing a civil action in this district court must ordinarily be required, upon request, to submit to a deposition at a place designated within this district. Exceptions to this general rule may be made by order of the Court. A defendant who becomes a counterclaimant, cross-claimant, or third-party plaintiff shall be considered as having filed an action in this Court for the purpose of this provision.

(c) Interrogatories. Each party shall be limited to 50 interrogatories.

(d) Discovery Cut Off. All discovery in this case shall be initiated so that it will be completed on or before [**Proposed: Plaintiff - February 5, 2007; Defendant - October 30, 2006**]. The Court encourages the parties to serve and respond to contention interrogatories early in the case. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the limitations on discovery set forth in Local Rule 26.1 shall be strictly observed.

(e) Disclosure of Expert Testimony. Unless otherwise agreed to by the parties, they shall disclose their experts by [**Proposed: Plaintiff November 6, 2006; Defendant - September 18, 2006**], file their initial Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) disclosures of expert testimony on or before [**Proposed: Plaintiff -December 4, 2006; Defendant - October 2, 2006**], and file a supplemental disclosure to contradict or

rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party before **[Proposed: Plaintiff - January 8, 2007; Defendant - October 16, 2006]**. To the extent any objection to expert testimony is made pursuant to the principles announced in *Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharm., Inc.*, 509 U.S. 579 (1993), it shall be made by motion no later than the deadline for dispositive motions set forth herein, unless otherwise ordered by the Court. The parties agree that draft reports prepared by disclosed experts shall not be discoverable.

(f) Discovery Disputes. Should counsel find they are unable to resolve a discovery dispute, the party seeking the relief shall contact chambers at (302) 573-6001 to schedule a telephone conference. Not less than forty-eight hours prior to the conference, the party seeking relief shall file with the Court a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining the issues in dispute and its position on those issues. (The Court does not seek extensive argument or authorities at this point; it seeks simply a statement of the issue to be addressed or summary of the basis for the party's position on the issue.) Not less than twenty-four hours prior to the conference, any party opposing the application for relief may file a letter, not to exceed three pages, outlining that party's reasons for its opposition. Should the Court find further briefing necessary upon conclusion of the telephone conference, the Court will order it. Disputes over protective orders are to be addressed in the first instance in accordance with this paragraph.

4. Application to Court for Protective Order. Counsel expect that it will be necessary to apply to the Court for a protective order specifying terms and conditions for the disclosure of confidential information, counsel will confer and attempt to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order and submit it to the Court within ten days from

the date of this Order. Should counsel be unable to reach an agreement on a proposed form of order, the counsel will follow the provisions of Paragraph 3(f) above.

Any proposed order should include the following paragraph:

Other Proceedings. By entering this order and limiting the disclosure of information in this case, the Court does not intend to preclude another court from finding that information may be relevant and subject to disclosure in another case. Any person or party subject to this order who becomes subject to a motion to disclose another party's information designated "confidential" [the parties should list any other level of designation, such as "highly confidential," which may be provided for in the protective order] pursuant to this order shall promptly notify that party of the motion so that the party may have an opportunity to appear and be heard on whether that information should be disclosed.

5. Paper Filed Under Seal. When filing papers under seal, counsel shall deliver to the Clerk an original and one copy of the papers.

6. Settlement Conference. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to the United States Magistrate for the purpose of exploring the possibility of a settlement. The Magistrate Judge will schedule a settlement conference with counsel and their clients to be held within ninety days from the date of this Order.

7. Interim Status Report. On [**Proposed: Plaintiff - November 27, 2006; Defendant - September 18, 2006**] counsel shall submit a letter to the Court with an interim report on the nature of the matters in issue and the progress of discovery to date.

8. Status Conference. On [**Proposed: Plaintiff - December 4, 2006; Defendant - September 25, 2006**] the Court will hold a Rule 16(a), (b) and (c) conference by telephone with counsel beginning at 4:30 p.m. Plaintiff's counsel shall initiate the telephone call.

If all parties agree that there is nothing to report, nor anything to add to the interim status report or to this order, they may so notify the Court in writing before the

conference is scheduled to occur, and the conference will be taken off of the Court's calendar.

9. Tutorial Describing the Technology and Matters in Issue. The parties shall provide the Court on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - September 11, 2006; Defendant - August 21, 2006]** at 9:30 a.m. an in-person tutorial on the technology at issue. In that regard, each party may submit a videotape or DVD of not more than 30 minutes. The parties may choose to present the tutorial in person. In either event, the tutorial should focus on the technology in issue and should not be used to argue the parties' claim construction contentions. If the parties choose to file videotapes or DVDs, they should be filed under seal as part of the Court's file, subject to any protective order in effect. Each party may comment, in writing (in no more than 5 pages) on the opposing party's videotape tutorial. Any such comment shall be filed within ten (10) days of submission of the videotapes or DVDs.

10. Case Dispositive Motions. For all case dispositive motions, an opening brief, and affidavits, if any, in support of the motion shall be served and filed on or before **[Proposed: Plaintiff - March 5, 2007; Defendant - November 6, 2006]**. Answering briefs and supporting papers opposing case dispositive motions shall be served and filed on or before **[Proposed: Plaintiff - April 2, 2007; Defendant - November 22, 2006]**. Reply briefs, if any, shall be served and filed on or before **[Proposed: Plaintiff - April 16, 2007; Defendant - December 8, 2006]**. Beginning at 2:00 p.m. on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - May 14, 2007; Defendant - December 18, 2006]**, the Court will hear evidence and argument on summary judgment.

11. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the Court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - July 17, 2006; Defendant - June 16, 2006]**, the parties shall exchange a list of those claim term(s)/phrase(s) that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those term(s)/phrase(s). This document will not be filed with the Court. Subsequent to exchanging that list, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Chart to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 12 below. The parties' Joint Claim Construction Chart should identify for the Court the term(s)/phrase(s) of the claim(s) in issue, and should include each party's proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citation(s) only to the intrinsic evidence in support of their respective proposed constructions. A copy of the patent(s) in issue as well as those portions of the intrinsic record relied upon are to be submitted with this Joint Claim Construction Chart. In this joint submission, the parties shall not provide argument.

12. Claim Construction. The Joint Claim Construction Chart shall be submitted to the Court on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - August 7, 2006; Defendant - June 30, 2006]**. Issues of claim construction shall be submitted to the Court by each party filing an opening brief on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - August 28, 2006; Defendant - July 21, 2006]** and a responsive brief on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - September 11, 2006; Defendant - August 11, 2006]**.

13. Hearing on Claim Construction. Beginning at 2:00 p.m. on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - October 2, 2006; Defendant - August 21, 2006]**, the Court will hear evidence and argument on claim construction.

14. Applications by Motion. Except as otherwise specified herein, any application to the Court shall be by written motion filed with the Clerk. Unless otherwise requested by the Court, counsel shall not deliver copies of papers or correspondence to Chambers. Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by Local Rule 7.1.1.

15. Pretrial Conference. On **[Proposed: Plaintiff - August 13, 2007; Defendant - February 5, 2007]**, the Court will hold a Final Pretrial Conference in Chambers with counsel beginning at 4:30 p.m. Unless otherwise ordered by the Court, the parties should assume that filing the pretrial order satisfies the pretrial disclosure required of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(3). The parties shall file with the Court the joint proposed final pretrial order with the information required by the form of Final Pretrial Order which accompanies this Scheduling Order on or before **[Proposed: Plaintiff - July 9, 2007; Defendant - January 22, 2007]**.

16. Motions in Limine. Motions *in limine* shall not be separately filed. All *in limine* requests and responses thereto shall be set forth in the proposed pretrial order. Each party shall be limited to five *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court. The *in limine* request and any responses shall contain the authorities relied upon; each *in limine* request may be supported by a maximum of five pages of argument and may be opposed by a maximum of five pages of argument. No separate briefing shall be submitted on *in limine* requests, unless otherwise permitted by the Court.

17. Jury Instructions, Voir Dire and Special Verdict Forms. Where a case is to be tried to a jury, pursuant to Local Rules 47 and 51 the parties should file proposed voir dire, instructions to the jury, and special verdict forms and jury interrogatories three

full business days before the final pretrial conference. That submission shall be accompanied by a computer diskette (in Wordperfect format) which contains the instructions, proposed voir dire, special verdicts and jury interrogatories.

18. Trial. This matter is scheduled for a **[Proposed; Seven patents- 15-day; One patent - 10-day]** jury trial beginning at _____ a.m. on **[Proposed: Plaintiff - September 10, 2007; Defendant - February 19, 2007]**. For the purpose of completing pretrial preparations, counsel should plan on each side being allocated a total of **35** hours to present their case.

United States District Judge